



**30-INCH WATER MAIN ALONG LOCKHILL-SELMA
TO DEZAVALA ELEVATED STORAGE TANK
Solicitation Number: PS-00078**

**ADDENDUM 1
September 18, 2019**

To Respondent of Record:

This addendum, applicable to work referenced above, is an amendment to the specifications and as such will be a part of and included in the Contract Documents. Acknowledge receipt of this addendum by entering the Addendum number and issue date on the space provided in submitted copies of the Respondent Questionnaire.

RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS

1. Question: Does the 10-point Arial font restriction apply to graphics/exhibits?

Response: The 10-point Arial font applies to the forms (in PDF/MS Word documents) attached to the RFQ. However, this font size is not applicable to the exhibits created by design consultants. Font size is also not applicable to the project schedule matrix. The font size in exhibits and schedule should not be so small that it is not legible. The response must not exceed the page limit. Additionally, drawings should not be submitted as part of this SOQ.

2. Question: In the pre-submittal presentation, the Evaluation Criteria for the Team Experience and Qualifications states that 1-page resumes must be included for the Project Manager, Design Team Lead(s), QA/QC Lead, Cost Estimator, Permitting Lead, SUE Lead, Geotechnical Lead, and Survey Lead. However, the RFQ criteria only requests 1-page resumes for the Project Manager, Design Team Lead(s), QA/QC Lead, Cost Estimator, and Permitting Lead. Can you please verify which resumes need to be included- those requested in the RFQ, or those mentioned in the pre-submittal presentation?

Response: There is a six (6) page limit for resumes included in the submittal from Respondents. Respondents shall follow the requirements as described in the RFQ and only provide a one (1) page resume for the Project Manager, up to two (2) Design Team Lead(s), QA/QC Lead, Cost Estimator, and Permitting Lead.

3. Question: As they are outlined on RFQ page 27 of 84, the requirements of the project manager and key personnel relative to the five projects required to show overall team experience are fairly rigorous. This point was raised in the Pre-submittal meeting, and SAWS staff suggested that while a minimum of five projects were required, we could provide more project descriptions to help meet the experience requirements. However, the format and page limit requirements given on page 22 of 84 state we are to provide only one project per page with a five page limit, restricting us to five projects. Please clarify whether SAWS staff comments during the Pre-submittal meeting still hold true.

Response: Requirements of 'Similar Projects and Past Performance' section have been updated in the RFQ. The second sentence of the 'Similar Projects and Past Performance' form (page 27 of 84) should read, "Identify five (5) relevant

*projects, of similar size, scope and complexity to the projects identified within this RFQ that have been **constructed** within the past **ten (10)** years.*

- 4. Question:** If the maximum number of projects for the Similar Projects and Past Performance Project Table section is increased as per comments made during the Pre-submittal meeting, please clarify how this affects the 5 page limit for this section, and the overall page count of the Submission. Please also reassert the participation requirements of key personnel, the Project Manager, Respondent, and subconsultants relative to the overall number of projects, as outlined on page 27 of 84 of the RFQ.

Response: See Response to Question #3 part of this Addendum.

- 5. Question:** Will the consultant be required to provide any hydraulic modeling or surge analyses for this project?

Response: Hydraulic modeling and surge analyses may be required in this project. The scope for the project will be finalized during the negotiation phase of the RFQ.

- 6. Question:** Has SAWS selected the pipe materials for the project?

Response: It is the responsibility of the engineer of record to recommend appropriate materials of construction for required improvements.

- 7. Question:** Can SAWS confirm the anticipated working pressures for the pipeline?

Response: SAWS will provide necessary information related to working pressures within the system during the design phase of the project.

- 8. Question:** Has the tank been built or when will it be built?

Response: A separate project has been started to design for the tank. Professional design services are being procured as part of Solicitation PS-00077 – DeZavala Elevated Storage Tank. Construction funds for the tank are scheduled for 2022.

- 9. Question:** Will pipe go to the edge of elevated tank?

Response: There will be a tie-in point between the pipeline and the tank for which coordination will need to take place during the design phase of both projects.

- 10. Question:** Will there be a stub-out to tie into?

Response: This is a new tank. There is currently no stub-out located at the tie-in.

- 11. Question:** Is there a propose budget for this project?

Response: Estimated construction cost for the project is \$3.8 million

- 12. Question:** Will the 30” pipe be ductile pipe?

Response: See Response to Question #6 part of this Addendum.

- 13. Question:** Key personnel that have worked on projects, is SAWS asking for 5 of 5 to be completed by personnel or 2 of the 5?

Response: Proposed Key Personnel shall have participated in three (3) of the five (5) projects submitted as references. The proposed Project Manager shall have participated in at least two (2) of the five (5) projects.

14. Question: Will pdf of pre-sub presentation be posted on the SAWS website?

Response: The presentation has been posted to the SAWS solicitation website.

15. Question: Do you have a schedule of when tank will be completed?

Response: See response to Question #8 part of this Addendum.

CHANGES TO THE REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS

1. The first sentence of the 'Description' column of the Similar Projects and Past Performance (page 22 of 84) of the RFQ should read the following:

Complete the table provided within the Evaluation Criteria forms identify five (5) relevant projects, of similar size, scope, and complexity to the projects identified within this RFQ that have been **constructed** within the past **ten (10) years**.

2. The second sentence of the 'Similar Projects and Past Performance' form (page 27 of 84) of the RFQ should read, "Identify five (5) relevant projects, of similar size, scope and complexity to the projects identified within this RFQ that have been **constructed** within the past **ten (10)** years.

CLARIFICATIONS

Estimated construction cost for this project is \$3.8 million.

END OF ADDENDUM

This Addendum is three (3) page(s) in its entirety.